UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,409	07/07/2006	Yasunori Urano	034201.006	2745
	7590 08/16/201 BRELL & RUSSELL	EXAMINER		
1130 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.	HAVAN, HUNG T		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/16/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/585,409	URANO, YASUNORI
Examiner	Art Unit
HUNG HAVAN	2164

HUN	NG HAVAN	2164				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following replication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (was for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1 periods:	es: (1) an amendment, affidavi vith appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisor no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). On	y Action, or (2) the date set forth an SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on wh have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensio under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than 1 may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount oned statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within	thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS		will not be antened because				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but pr (a) They raise new issues that would require further conside 						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ration and/or search (see NO	i L below),				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better fo appeal; and/or	rm for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corres	sponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. So	ee attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	_					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	·	•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 .	lli not be entered, or b) 🔲 wil below or appended.	i be entered and an explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
/Kamini S Shah/	/H. H./					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128	Examiner, Art Unit 2164					

Continuation of 13. Other:

Applicant's argument is unpersuasive.

Applicant's amendment to claims change the scope of the claims and raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Specifically the claims now recite additional features of "the outputs from the actual controller are used for the controlled factors that are not subject to the evaluation, and the outputs from the virtual controller are used for the simulation as an engine control signal with respect to the controlled factors that are subjected to the evaluation."

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered. Applicant's arguments amount to a general allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references. Therefore, Applicant's argument is unpersuasive.

To further compact prosecution, it is noted that support for amended claim feature is found at least on page 4, lines 11-15 and page 5, lines 3-15 of specification. It is also noted that claim 7 is drawn to a computer readable medium; however, the written description is silent regarding what is a computer readable medium.